

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/009,335	MULLER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	George Davis	2129	

**All Participants:**

(1) George Davis.

(2) Thersa Takeuchi.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) Mark Hanish.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 17 October 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*1 and 2*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None.*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner suggests amending claim 1 to specify an outcome of the three branches. After applicants suggested an amendment, the examiner indicates that the examiner will consult the issue with the supervisor.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)